IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND

DEMARK OFFICE

re Application of:

Simon Hunt

U.S. Application No.: 09/762,852

PCT No.: PCT/GB99/02665

Int. Filing Date: August 12, 1999

Priority Date: August 14, 1998

For: INTERACTIVE SYSTEM

FOR ENABLING TV

SHOPPING

Art Unit:

: Examiner:

Atty Docket: 20234/0070

REPRESE!

1 0 JAN 2002

Lordend Particular District

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(b)

Commissioner for Patents Box PCT Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

JAN 0 2 2002

In response to the decision on petition mailed September 26, 2001, regarding the above-identified patent application, reconsideration of the decision is requested.

A copy of the declaration signed by the managing director of the assignee is enclosed along with a Certification Under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b). The original submission by the undersigned was believed to include a copy of the facsimile of the declaration. However, the enclosed should satisfy all requirements for a declaration.

Regarding the conclusion that the papers do not reveal a refusal to sign the application papers, the undersigned encloses further documentation illustrating the difficulty applicants representatives are having in securing the required signed documents. A letter of July 10, 2001, indicates that the inventor-applicant is demanding payment for the papers which are subject to a negotiation.

Demands by the applicant are inconsistent with the Deed of Assignment, executed by the applicant Simon Hunt, forwarded as an exhibit to the petition under 37 37 CFR § 1,47(b). Pursuant to that petition, a deed of assignment was attached, from Simon Hunt to the assignee of the present application. In accordance with paragraph 3(V) the assignee has been given power to:

"Do all that is necessary to invest such protection in the assignee absolutely."

In spite of the clear transfer of ownership from the applicant inventor to the assignee, the assignor continues to demand payment for carrying out the responsibilities which were set forth in the deed of assignment. The foregoing serves as authority to accept the signature of the assignee in lieu of the signature of the inventor.

The enclosed letter of July 10, 2001, indicates good faith efforts being made by the attorney's for the assignee to obtain the requisite signed declaration for submission.

The additional letter dated 26 November 2001, confirms that the applicant continues to refuse to deliver a signed declaration.

It is hereby requested that the petition be granted, in that the assignee's representatives have made good faith efforts to secure all required documents, and unless such petition is granted, valuable rights bargained for by the assignee of the application will be lost.

Respectfully submitted,

George R. Pettit, Esq. Customer Number 30678

Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP

1990 M Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036-3425

Telephone: 202-331-7111

Date: 11/26/01

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The undersigned hereby certifies that the attached Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b), Original Executed Declaration for Patent Application, Certification Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) and a copy of a letter dated 26 November 2001 from Howard L. Mulhench (R.G.C. Jenkins & Co.) for Serial No. 08/762,852 (Attorney Docket No. 20234/0070), was sent by certified first-class mail, postage prepaid, on November 26, 2001 to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Deborah A. Harrell

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1990 M Street, N.W.

Suite 800

Washington, D.C. 20036-3425

European Pate At

Attorneys . Chartered Patent Attori

Trade Mark Attorneys

R.G.C. Jonkins & Co 26 Caxton Street

London SWIH OR]

Tel: +44 (0)20 7931 7141 Fax: +44 (0)20 7222 4660 E-mail: info@jenkins-ip.com

Internet: www.jenkinsip.com

URGENT

VIA FACSIMILE CONFIRMATION VIA MAIL

26 November 2001

George Pettit Esq., CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP, Suite 800, 1990 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036-3425, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Dear George,

RE: US Patent Appln. Serial No. 09/762852 (Hunt) Your Ref: 0234/00070 - Ours: HLM/CE/J00024475US

Thank you for your e-mail requesting further proof of Simon Hunt's refusal to sign the declaration. In the time available (you want to put a reply in today) I have copied the correspondence from our file and it is enclosed.

The position is that Simon did <u>not</u> refuse to sign. He said that he would sign (you have had a copy of his letter), but then involved his lawyers and, having signed the papers, instructed his lawyers not to release them to us unless we paid him some extraordinary amount which of course we refused to pay. I offered to pay £1,500, take it or leave it, and would you believe he declined to accept. We paid his lawyer's fees and left it at that, relying instead on the document that Simon had signed giving power of attorney to Media Logic.

I hope this is sufficient for your purposes. If not, please let me know what more you or the Examiner need.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely. R.G.C. JENKINS & CO.

HOWARD L. MILHENCH

R.G.C. Jenkins & Co.

E-mail: info@jenkins-ip.com Internet: www.jenkinsip.com

26 Caxton Street London SWIH ORI Tel: +44 (0)20 7931 7141 Fax: +44 (0)20 7222 4660

Europe n Pa. a Attorneys + Chartered Patent Attorneys + Trade Mark Attorneys

10・ことにに プンロとに プ

VIA FACSIMILE **CONFIRMATION VIA MAIL**

10 July 2001

George Pettit Esq., CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP, Suite 800. 1990 M Street NW, Washington, DC 20036-3425, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Dear Geroge.

RE: US Patent Appln. Serial No. 09/762852 (Hunt) Your Ref: 0234/00070 - Ours: HLM/CE/J00024475US

Thank you for your letter of July 6, 2001. The two forms that you enclosed have been signed by Andy Brown, Managing Director of Media Logic Systems Ltd, and copies are provided herewith. The originals follow with the confirmation copy of this letter.

The current situation with Simon Hunt is that he has signed the declaration and the assignment and his solicitor has the signed documents. Simon is asking for £3750 from us, plus £625 for his solicitors, to release the signed documents. We have countered with an offer of £1500. I can fax you the relevant correspondence if you wish.

I believe that a declaration from me will be required at some stage testifying to the facts of this matter. I also believe that you have all relevant information in this regard. Please do let me know if you require anything else from me.

With best regards,

Yours sincerely, R.G.C. JENKINS & CO.

HOWARD L. MILHENCH

Offices also in Munich and Alicante

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re	Application of:	:	
	Simon Hunt	: :	
Serial No.: 09/762,852		: : Art Unit:	
Filed: February 14, 2001		Examiner:	
For:	INTERACTIVE SYSTEM FOR ENABLING TV SHOPPING	: Atty Docket: 0234/00070	
	CEDTIFICATION	UNDER 37 CFR § 3.73(b)	
	CERTIFICATION	UNDER 37 CFR § 3.73(b)	
	ant Commissioner for Patents ington, D.C. 20231		
Sir:			
	Media Logic Systems Limited, a U	nited Kingdom corporation, states that it is:	
1. ⊠	the Assignee of the entire right, title and interest; or		
2. 🗆	an Assignee of less than the entire of the extent (by percentage) of its over		
in the	application/patent identified above b	y virtue of either:	
A. ⊠	<u> </u>	of the patent application identified above. The S. Patent and Trademark Office at Reel which a copy thereof is attached. OR	
	A chain of title from the inventor(s) assignee as shown below:	of the patent identified above to the current	
	Patent and Trademark Offwhich a copy thereof is atta	to The document was recorded in the ice at Reel, Frame, or for iched.	
09/762	,852	1	

P.03

	2.	From to The document was recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at Reel, Frame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.	
	3.	From to The document was recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at Reel, Frame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.	
[]	Additional documents in the chain of title are listed on a supplemental sheet.		
	Copies of assignments or other documents in the chain of title are attached. [NOTE: A separate copy (i.e., the original assignment document or a true copy of the original document) must be submitted to Assignment Division in accordance with 37 CFR Part 3, if the assignment is to be recorded in the records of the USPTO. See MPEP § 302.08)		
The un		(whose title is supplied below) is authorized to act on behalf of the	
these so made of the validity	statements tatements v le are puni United Stat	further that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and is made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like shable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 tes Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the olication or any patent issuing thereon, or any patent to which this sected.	
Date:		9/7/2001	
Name:	And	rew Brown	
Title:	Mar	aging Director	
Signatu	re:	I Do	

09/762,852